

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
TEXARKANA DIVISION

NICOLE Y. SARTOR

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CASE NO. 06-CV-4044

UNION PACIFIC CORP., and
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant Union Pacific Corporation's Second Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to File its Reply Brief to Plaintiff's Response to Defendant Union Pacific Corporation's Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2). (Doc. 22). Continued scheduling conflicts of counsel for Defendant Union Pacific Corporation necessitate a further extension of time for counsel to file its reply. In the motion, Defendant Union Pacific Corporation states that counsel for Plaintiff Nicole Y. Sartor does not oppose the requested extension. Upon consideration, the Court finds Defendant's Union Pacific Corporation motion for extension should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. Defendant Union Pacific Corporation has up to and including September 25, 2006 to file its reply brief.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 21st day of September, 2006.

/s/Harry F. Barnes
Hon. Harry F. Barnes
United States District Judge